

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/728,976		12/08/2003	David Tarasenko	P508 0003	1098		
720	7590	12/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	-	REEN & MUTALA	ALVO, MARC S				
480 - THE STATION 601 WEST CORDOVA STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		V6B 1G1	1731	1731			
CANADA	L			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/728,976	TARASENKO	M			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steve Alvo	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicationr Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. The areply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. VTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or alastian requirement					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	***					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	· · · · ·				
, —		ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form 1 10	-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			•			
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	<u> </u>		· ·	tago			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B 		i received in this induction of	laye			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	•	received.				
		,					
Attachmen	t(s)		,				
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date 3-04,5-04		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)			
C Dotont and 3	-						

Application/Control Number:

10/728,976

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 61-63 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PRIOR.

PRIOR teaches contacting al I forms of lignocellulosic material, e.g. wood chips or grassy materials (column 5, lines 37-58), with nitric acid impregnating liquor, heating the liquor during impregnation to a temperature of 70 °C or below and then heating the lignocellulosic material to delignify the material by heating to a preferred temperature of 85 °C to 95 °C (column 8, lines 1-5); e.g. above the boiling point of the nitric acid; wherein the nitric acid concentration is about 10% to 40% by weight, e.g. 9% nitrate concentration by weight of wood (column 3, lines 30-35), alkali extracting the lignocellulosic material to solubilize the lignin with NaOH or KOH (column 12, lines 25-53), separating the lignin from the material by precipitation (column 16, lines 45-50) and removing the black liquor from the pulp (column 13, lines 33-36). It would have been obvious to remove any liquor not absorbed by the wood between the two heating stages as such is shown in Figures 1 and 1a, e.g. draining between each of the heating stages. See PRIOR, column 9, line 49 for using an atmospheric pressure. See, column 10, lines 65-68 for a boiling point of 86 °C for the nitric acid solution.

Claims 54-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PRIOR as applied to claim 61 above, and further in view of TORGET et al.

TORGRT teaches fermenting sugars obtained from lignocellulosic material to produce alcohols (column 1, lines 46-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

that any sugars remaining in the pulping liquor of PRIOR could be fermented and converted into sugar in the manner taught by TORGET et al.

Claims 1-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PRIOR as applied to claim 61 above, and further in view of ROWE et al.

ROWE teaches recovering pulping liquor by separating the lignin from the liquor and distilling off the volatile acids during the black liquor recovery process. It would have been obvious that the black liquor could have been distilled to separate the volatile organic acids during the liquor recovery in the manner taught by PRIOR.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:45 AM - 2:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Alvo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731